Introduction to the Mymaridae (Hymenoptera) of Fiji, with description of two new species and comparison with the fairyflies of other Pacific islands

JOHN T. HUBER

Natural Resources Canada, c/o AAFC, K.W. Neatby Building, 960 Carling Ave., Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0C6, Canada; email: John.Huber@agr.gc.ca

Abstract . Twenty-one genera of Mymaridae and about sixty-five species are identified from Fiji. Two new species are described: *Anaphes fijiensis* Huber, **sp. nov**. and *Palaeoneura gloriosa* Huber, **sp. nov**. The male of *Palaeoneura eucharis* (Perkins), **comb. nov**. from *Polynema* Haliday, is reported from specimens collected on Viti Levu. The Fijian fauna is compared to that of other Pacific islands.

INTRODUCTION

Because of their small size, Mymaridae (Hymenoptera) are usually overlooked and, consequently, are poorly represented in insect collections. Yet, they are abundant in most terrestrial and some freshwater habitats where they parasitize eggs of other insects (Huber 1986), with only two known exceptions (Huber et al. 2006). Mymaridae or fairyflies are important in the natural control of many insects. In the Pacific region they were used for biological control of invasive alien species, e.g., the planthopper Perkinsiella saccharicida Kirkaldy (Delphacidae) on sugarcane (Perkins 1905, Sweezey 1936, Triapitsyn & Beardsley 2000), and two leafhopper species (Cicadellidae), Sophonia rufofascia (Kuoh & Kuoh) in the Hawaiian Islands (Alyokhin et al. 2001, Johnson et al. 2001, Yang et al. 2002) and Homalodisca vitripennis (Germar) in French Polynesia (Triapitsyn 2006, Grandgirard et al. 2007, 2008, 2009). Palaeoneura sophoniae (Huber) (as Chaetomymar Ogloblin) was inadvertently introduced from Asia into the Hawaiian Islands about the same time as its host, S. rufofascia whereas Gonatocerus ashmeadi Girault on H. vitripennis was deliberately introduced from the USA into French Polynesia. Interestingly, surveys prior to introduction of the latter species of fairyfly revealed, unexpectedly, a different, accidentally introduced species-Gonatocerus dolichocerus Ashmead-that parasitizes yet other introduced pest Cicadellidae in French Polynesia. In the Hawaiian Islands, Swezey (1954) recorded other associations of Mymaridae. One was a native species on a native host, namely, Polynema ciliata Perkins on Aloha dubautiae (Kirkaldy) (Delphacidae); one was a deliberately introduced immigrant species (from Fiji or Australia) on a native host (not the intended target), namely, Anagrus frequens Perkins on Kelisia sporobolicola Kirkaldy (Delphacidae); one was an immigrant species (from Mexico or USA) probably accidentally introduced on an immigrant host, namely Gonatocerus mexicanus Perkins on Draculaecephala minerva Ball (Cicadellidae); and one may be an immigrant species on a native host, namely, Stephanodes reduvioli (Perkins) on Nabis capsiformis (Nabidae). Such diverse associations—alien or native parasitoids on native or alien hosts-may be found to occur in Fiji once the fauna is better known biologically.

^{1.} Contribution No. 2009-009 to the NSF-Fiji Arthropod Survey.

The fairyflies of Fiji have barely been studied. Fullaway (1957) included five species of Mymaridae in his list of Chalcididae (*s.l.*) and Evenhuis (2007) listed the genera, including seven previously reported species. No other information is available on this family of small wasps in Fiji. It was therefore interesting to receive for study over 400 specimens of Mymaridae collected as part of an important biodiversity survey of the country. The results presented here provide a more complete picture of the diversity of Fijian Mymaridae.

Lin *et al.* (2007) may be used to identify the genera in Fiji because its fauna represents a subset of the Australian fauna, except for *Stephanocampta* Mathot and *?Callodicopus* Ogloblin, which have not yet been reported from Australia. Two species are described as new to science, one *Anaphes* Haliday and one *Palaeoneura* Waterhouse, and the Fijian fauna is compared with other island groups of the Pacific Ocean.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Microhymenoptera (mostly Chalcidoidea), collected mainly in Malaise traps in Fiji by E. Schlinger and parataxonomists, were sent to the Canadian National Collection of Insects, Ottawa (CNC). Some were collected by A. Bennett (CNC) using water-filled yellow pan traps. Over 430 specimens of Mymaridae were extracted, critical point dried, glued to card mounts with shellac gel, and the cards pinned. A few specimens of *Anaphes* and *Palaeoneura* were slide mounted in Canada balsam using the method described by Noyes (1982). Measurements are given in micrometers (μ m). Photographs were taken with a ProgRes C14^{plus} digital camera attached to a Nikon Eclipse E800 compound microscope, and the resulting layers combined electronically using Auto-Montage[®] and retouched as needed with Adobe[®] Photoshop CS3[®]. Abbreviations used in the description are: fl_x for funicular (in females) or flagellar (in males) segment and gt_x for gastral tergum. Primary types are currently held in trust at the Bishop Museum, Honolulu (BPBM) but will ultimately be deposited in the Fiji National Insect Collection, Suva (FNIC). Other specimens are deposited in the CNC and BPBM.

GENERA OF MYMARIDAE

Representatives of 21 genera of Mymaridae have been collected so far in Fiji. Host summaries for each genus are from Huber (1986) and Noyes (2002). Lin *et al.* (2007) gave separate keys to the Australian genera of females and males, diagnoses, complete generic synonymies, distributions, and photographs. The keys may also be used to identify the genera in Fiji (except *Stephanocampta* and *Callodicopus*). Recent generic keys for identification of certain groups of genera are: Huber & Lin (1999) for *Camptoptera, Camptopteroides, Callodicopus, Stephanocampta* and Huber (2009) for *Alaptus, Dicopomorpha, Dicopus*. The diagnostic combination of features given for each genus below applies to the species (females only) collected so far in Fiji.

Alaptus Westwood

Diagnosis. Tarsi 5-segmented, female funicle 5-segmented and clava 1-segmented, fore wing with posterior margin deeply excised behind venation, scutellum separated from frenum (= posterior scutellum, of authors) by a transverse suture. Seven specimens from Viti Levu representing perhaps only one species were seen. Hosts are eggs of Psocoptera.

Allanagrus Noyes & Valentine

Diagnosis. Tarsi 4-segmented, female funicle 6-segmented and clava 3-segmented with the sutures between the segments often oblique, frenum divided medially by a longitudinal suture, fore wing with posterior margin at most slightly lobed behind venation.

Eleven specimens from Viti Levu representing at least three species were seen but the generic limits are unclear and placement of one of the species in *Allanagrus* is uncertain. Although it has the basic diagnostic features of the genus it looks very different because of its unusual colour pattern. Hosts are unknown.

Anagrus Haliday

Diagnosis. Tarsi 4-segmented, female funicle 6-segmented and clava 1-segmented, in lateral view the clava with straight ventral margin and curved dorsal margin, frenum divided medially by a longitudinal suture and each half shorter than wide.

Three specimens from Viti Levu and Taveuni were seen. The single female appears to be *A. frequens* Perkins (Perkins 1905, Triapitsyn & Beardsley 2000). Perkins (1905) also described *A. optabilis* (Perkins) and *A. perforator* (Perkins), and Girault (1913) incorrectly recorded *A. armatus* (Ashmead). Hosts are eggs of Cicadellidae, Delphacidae, and Odonata. Several species have been used in biological control of sugarcane pests.

Anaphes Haliday (Figs. 1–4)

Diagnosis. Tarsi 4-segmented, female funicle 6-segmented and clava 1-segmented (Fig. 1), fore wing wide and apically truncate (Fig. 2), propodeum with longitudinal median groove.

Six specimens were seen, representing two species, one of which is described below. The second species, represented by only one specimen from Taveuni, is not described until more specimens become available. The species from Fiji belong to the Southern Hemisphere subgenus *Anaphes (Yungaburra* Girault). In contrast, *A. calendrae* (Gahan), the species introduced into the Hawaiian Islands to control species of *Sphenophorus* (Curculionidae) (Beardsley 2000), belongs to *A. (Anaphes)*. Hosts are eggs of Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae, mainly.

Arescon Walker

Diagnosis. Tarsi 5-segmented, female funicle 5-segmented and clava 1-segmented, fore wing with venation extending well over half wing length.

Three specimens were seen. They may well be *A. clarkae* Doutt, described from the Caroline Islands (Doutt 1955), differing only in having a slightly less exserted ovipositor. Hosts are unknown.

Australomymar Girault

Diagnosis. Tarsi 4-segmented, female funicle 6-segmented and clava 1-segmented, fore wing with venation extending almost half the wing length and a line of microtrichia extending from stigmal vein obliquely towards posteroapical angle of wing; ovipositor distinctly exserted and the sheaths setose.

Eight-five specimens representing at least seven species were seen from Kadavu, Vanua Levu, Viti Levu, and Taveuni. One of the species is very similar to, if not the same as, *A. gressitti* (Doutt) from Truk Island (Micronesia). The single host record is Tetti-goniidae (in Chile).

Camptoptera Förster

Diagnosis. Tarsi 5-segmented, female funicle 7-segmented (f_1_2 sometimes very short) and clava 1-segmented, fore wing relatively narrow, apically curved, and usually with only 1 median longitudinal row of microtrichia, petiole narrow, short but distinct.

Twenty specimens representing three species were seen, all from Viti Levu. Apparently reliable host records are eggs of Cicadellidae, Thripidae, and Scolytinae (Curculionidae).

Camptopteroides Viggiani

Diagnosis. Tarsi 5-segmented, female funicle 6-segmented and clava 1-segmented, fore wing with dark suffusion except at apex, propodeum uniformly and strongly reticulate, petiole narrow, short but distinct.

Nine specimens representing apparently two species were seen from Vanua Levu, Viti Levu, and Taveuni. Hosts are unknown.

?Callodicopus Ogloblin

Diagnosis. Tarsi 5-segmented, female funicle 7-segmented (fl_2 very short) and clava 1-segmented, fore wing with posterior margin straight at apex, gastral petiole relatively wide and indistinct. *Callodicopus* would key to *Dicopomorpha* in Lin *et al.* (2007). but differs in having an entire scutellum, without a frenal line separating the scutellum from the frenum (Fig. 73 in Huber & Lin 1999).

Five specimens representing one species were seen. The three females were from Viti Levu whereas the two males were from Taveuni, so the association is uncertain. Perhaps two species are represented. Hosts are unknown.

Dicopomorpha Ogloblin

Diagnosis. Tarsi 5-segmented, female funicle 7-segmented and clava 1-segmented, mandibles directed medially, overlapping when closed, scutellum separated from frenum by a transverse suture, gastral petiole relatively wide and indistinct.

Five specimens representing three species were seen, all from Viti Levu. Hosts are unknown.

Dicopus Enock

Diagnosis. Tarsi 5-segmented, female funicle 7-segmented and clava 1-segmented, mandibles directed ventrally, not overlapping when closed, fore wing extremely narrow for much of its length, scutellum separated from frenum by a transverse suture, gastral petiole relatively wide and indistinct.

No specimens were seen. The presence of *Dicopus* in Fiji is based on a single male of *D. psyche* Girault collected on a window pane in Suva (Girault 1912). Hosts are unknown.

Dorya Noyes & Valentine

Diagnosis. Tarsi 4-segmented, female funicle 6-segmented and clava 1-segmented, the clava gradually tapering to a point and about as long as entire funicle, scape basally abruptly and distinctly wider than apex of radicle.

Eight specimens representing one species from Viti Levu, Vanua Levu, Kadavu and Taveuni were seen. Hosts are unknown.

Erythmelus Enock

Diagnosis. Tarsi 4-segmented, female funicle 6-segmented and clava 1-segmented, head in lateral view thin, with eye extending to back of head so gena absent; mandibles reduced to minute stubs, not capable of meeting medially, hypopygium distinct, extending to apex of gaster.

One male specimen of Erythmelus was seen. Hosts are eggs of Miridae and Tingidae.

Eubroncus Yoshimoto, Kozlov & Trjapitzin

Diagnosis. Tarsi 4-segmented, female funicle 6-segmented and clava 1-segmented, head in lateral view distinctly triangular and mandibles projecting ventrally, about as long as head height.

Sixteen specimens of one species were seen from Vanua Levu, Viti Levu, and Taveuni. Hosts are unknown.

Gonatocerus Nees

Diagnosis. Tarsi 5-segmented, female funicle 8-segmented and clava 1-segmented, petiole narrow, short but distinct, gastral tergum 1 about same length as each of the following terga and gastral sclerites weakly sclerotized.

Thirty-six specimens of the *litoralis* and *sulphuripes* species groups were collected from Viti Levu, Taveuni, and Kadavu. About five species of the *litoralis* group and one of the *sulphuripes* group were recognized (Huber *et al.* 2009 illustrated these two species groups). Hosts are mainly eggs of Cicadellidae.

Omyomymar Schauff

Diagnosis. Tarsi 4-segmented, female funicle 6-segmented and clava 2-segmented, ovipositor distinctly exserted beyond gastral apex by at least half the gaster length and often considerably more.

Ten specimens representing five species were seen from Kadavu, Viti Levu, and Taveuni. Hosts are unknown.

Palaeoneura Waterhouse

Diagnosis. Tarsi 4-segmented, female funicle 6-segmented and clava 1-segmented, face without pits between toruli, propleura abutting anteriorly (thus enclosing the sternum anteriorly), gastral petiole much longer than wide, tube-like, and apparently attached to gastral tergum.

About 145 specimens representing about 20 species were seen from Vanua Levu, Viti Levu, Kadavu, and Taveuni. So far, this is the most species and commonly collected genus in Fiji. Perkins (1912) described one species — *Palaeoneura eucharis*

(Perkins), **comb**. **nov**. from *Polynema* — a series of which were collected during this survey. An even more beautiful *Palaeoneura*, and one of the loveliest of Mymaridae, is described below. Hosts are unknown.

Pseudanaphes Noyes & Valentine

Diagnosis. Tarsi 4-segmented, female funicle 6-segmented and clava 3-segmented, with the sutures between the segments perpendicular to long axis of the clava, fore wing scarcely lobed behind venation, with venation about 0.4 times wing length, and with membrane behind venation light to dark brown.

Twenty specimens representing two species from Kadavu, Vanua Levu, Viti Levu, and Taveuni were seen. Hosts are unknown.

Schizophragma Ogloblin

Diagnosis. Tarsi 4-segmented, female funicle 6-segmented and clava 2-segmented, mesophragma deeply incised apically (only visible in cleared and slide mounted specimens), ovipositor barely exserted.

One specimen from Vanua Levu was seen. It may be *S. bicolor* (Dozier), reported from Hawaii (Beardsley & Huber 2000, Alyokhin *et al.* 2001, Yang *et al.* 2002). Hosts are Membracidae.

Stephanodes Enock

Diagnosis. Tarsi 4-segmented, female funicle 6-segmented and clava 1-segmented, scape with inner surface rasp-like, vertex with large shallow pits outside each ocellus, gastral petiole much longer than wide, tube-like, and attached to gastral sternum. Two specimens from Viti Levu were seen. The species is *S. reduvioli* (Perkins), incorrectly given as *S. similis* Förster in Huber & Fidalgo (1997), though I have some doubts as to whether they are distinct species. Hosts are Nabidae and Cicadellidae.

Stephanocampta Mathot

Diagnosis. Tarsi 5-segmented, female funicle 7-segmented and clava 1-segmented, fore wing relatively wide and evenly setose, propodeum with translucent, mesh-like lamellae (Figs. 122, 114, and 61, respectively, in Huber & Lin 1999). *Stephanocampta* would key to *Camptoptera* in Lin *et al.* (2007) but differs by the fore wing and propodeal features given here.

Stephanocampta occurs from Central Africa to south-east Asia so the Fijian record is a considerable eastward extension in range. Three specimens from Viti Levu representing one species were seen. Hosts are unknown.

SPECIES DESCRIPTIONS

Anaphes fijiensis Huber, sp. nov. (Figs. 1–3, 10)

Holotype \Im on slide (Fig. 9) labelled: 1."Fiji: Vanua Levu Island. Bua Prov. Kilaka. FJ-58D. 28.VI.–2.VII.04, 178°59'017"E, 16°48'412"S, M.E. Irwin, E. Schlinger, M. Tokota'a, 154m Malaise. FBA 047862". 2."Anaphes fijiensis Huber \Im dorsal holotype". Paratypes: 2 \Im and 2 \Im . FIJI. **Vanua Levu**: Bua, Kilaka, 146m, 3.vi–2.vii.2004, 16°48'927"S 178°59'110"E,



Figures 1–3. *Anaphes fijiensis.* 1, holotype head and antenna; 2, holotype wings; 3, paratype male, head and antenna. Scale lines = 0.25 mm.

M.E. Irwin, E. Schlinger, M. Tokota'a, MT, FBA 040445 (1 \circ on slide), same data but 3–10.vi.2004, FBA 040443 (1 \circ), 6 km NW. Kilaka, 15–26.vi.2004, Batiqere Range, 146m, M.E. Irwin, E. Schlinger, M. Tokota'a, MT, FBA 072053 (1 \circ). **Viti Levu**: Vuda, Koroyanitu Park, 1 mi. E. Abaca village, Savuione trail, 17.667°S 177.55°E, 800m, 22.iv–6.v.2003, E. Schlinger, M. Tokota'a, MT, FBA 180142 (1 \circ).

Diagnosis. Anaphes fijiensis belongs to the amplipennis group (female with clava 1-segmented) of subgenus Yungaburra (male with 11 flagellomeres, the first one bearing longitudinal sensilla and as long as the second). Anaphes fijiensis keys to couplet 2 (A. amplipennis) in Huber (1992) but differs from A. amplipennis in having a wider (length/width about 2.3) and apically more truncate fore wing as well as fl_1 with one longitudinal sensilla in A. amplipennis). The small body size, distinctly truncate fore wing apex (Fig. 2), short funicle segments each with one J-shaped and one straight longitudinal sensillum (Fig. 1), and occipital suture slightly angled towards foramen magnum identify the species. The other described ones occur in the Australian region. A very similar species occurs in New Caledonia (1 \Im , CNC) but its antenna has slightly longer funicle segments.

Description. Female. Body length 366–386 μ m (n=2, critical point dried paratypes). Body dark brown; antennae, especially scape and pedicel laterally, lighter brown, and legs except for brown coxae, femora, and metatibia yellowish. Fore wing (Fig. 2) with distinct brown suffusion in basal third and hind wing with slight brown suffusion behind venation.

Head. Head width 225 µm, with scattered erect setae on face between and below toruli (Fig. 1), on malar space, on gena, and along posterior margin of vertex; occipital suture slightly angled inwards towards foramen magnum; eye moderately setose.

Antenna. Scape with coarse, faint transverse striations on inner surface. Each funicular segment distinctly less than 2.0 times as long as wide, with 2 longitudinal sensilla, one straight and one J-shaped (Fig. 1). Clava 1–segmented, with 6 longitudinal sensilla. Measurements (length/width in μ m) of antennal segments (holotype): scape 77/21, pedicel 39/29, fl₁ 33/23, fl₂ 44/25, fl₃ 43/25, fl₄ 44/28, fl₅ 44/27, fl₆ 40/26, clava 96/38.

Mesosoma. Width 183 µm, length 255 µm, normal for the genus.

Wings. Fore wing wide and distinctly truncate apically (Fig. 2), densely setose to base of marginal vein except for a short marginal space and longer, oval medial space. Fore wing length/width 583/250 μ m, ratio 2.33, longest marginal setae 47 μ m, about 0.19 times wing width. Hind wing length/width 565/29 μ m, longest marginal setae 89 μ m.

Metasoma. Ovipositor length 349 μ m, 1.9 times as long as metatibia, extending forward under mesosoma to base of mesocoxa.

Male. Similar to female. Body length 465 μ m (n=1, critical point dried paratype). Antenna relatively short (Fig. 3). Measurements (length/width in μ m) of antennal segments: scape 57/23, pedicel 33/30, fl₁ 37/32, fl₂ 39/27, fl₃ 39/29, fl₄ 38/30, fl₅ 41/29, fl₆ 44/27, fl₇ 41/28, fl₈ 45/27, fl₉ 45/27, fl₁₀ 44/26, fl₁₁ 48/24. Most flagellomeres less than 1.5 times as long as wide, apical flagellomere 2.0 times as long as wide, and with 6–8 longitudinal sensilla (number difficult to determine).

Palaeoneura gloriosa Huber, sp. nov. (Figs. 4, 6, 8, 11)

Holotype \Im on slide (Fig. 11) labelled: 1."Fiji: Viti Levu, Vuda Prov., Koroyanitu Pk., 1 km E Abaca Vlg. 800m, 22.IV-6.V.2003, Malaise 1, coll. Schlinger, Tokota'a. 17.667'S 177.55 E. FBA 100337". 2."Palaeoneura gloriosa Huber holotype \Im dorsal". Paratypes. Two \Im . FIJI: **Viti Levu**: Vuda, Koroyanitu Nat. Park, 1 km E. Abaca, 17.667°S 177.55°E, 800m, 22.iv–6.v.2003, E. Schlinger, M. Tokota'a, MT, FBA100398 (1 \Im), Koroyanitu Nat. Park, Savuione trail, 17°40'S 177°33'E, 450m, 21.x–18.xi.2003, M. Irwin, E. Schlinger, M. Tokota'a, MT, FBA049318 (1 \Im).

Additional material. FIJI: Viti Levu: Naitasiri, 4 km WSW. Colo-i-Suva, Mt. Nakobalevu, 18.057°S 178.42°E, 12.iv.2004, 300m, E. Schlinger, Timoci, MT, FBA 223698 (1 $^{\circ}$), Navai, Eteni, 17°37'S 177°59'E, 700m, 24.x–8.xi.2003, M.E. Irwin, E. Schlinger, M. Tokota'a, MT, FBA 036938 (1 $^{\circ}$), 1.8 km E. Navai, old trail to Mt. Tomaniivi, 17.621°S 177.998°E, 700m, 9–20.xii.2003, E. Schlinger, M. Tokota'a, FBA 173074 (1 $^{\circ}$).

Diagnosis. The intricately and beautifully patterned fore wing (Figs. 4, 6) serves to identify the species. *Palaeoneura gloriosa* is most similar to *P. eucharis* (Perkins) (Fig. 5), but differs from the latter by the fore wing having at least five (seven in paratypes) clear areas separated by brown markings instead of four such areas.

Description. Female. Body length 1613–1638 μ m (n=2). Body yellow except for brown trabeculae, mandibular teeth, posteroventral apex of mesopleuron and, narrowly, of metapleuron, and exserted part of ovipositor sheath; antenna yellow except fl₂–fl₄ increasingly darker to same brown colour as fl₅ and fl₆, base of fl₂–fl₅ narrowly dark brown, clava creamy yellow except for slightly brown dorsal surface of apex; legs yellow except for brown tarsi. Fore wing (Fig. 2) with intricate pattern of brown bands separating at least 5 distinct clear areas; hind wing with distinct brown suffusion behind and just beyond hamuli, and in apical two-thirds beyond venation, except for extreme apex.

Head. Head width 260 μ m. Face below toruli with 2 rows of inwardly directed and pointed setae on each side, those of the submedian row longer and thicker than those of the sublateral row; vertex and occiput with numerous truncate setae.

Antenna (Fig. 8). Scape with about 20 short thick setae on inner surface. Funicular segments without longitudinal sensilla. Clava 1-segmented, with 8 longitudinal sensilla. Measurements

(length/width in μ m) of antennal segments (holotype): scape 101/53, pedicel 67/34, fl₁ 102/18, fl₂ 226/17, fl₃ 260/19, fl₄ 186/21, fl₅ 180/27, fl₆ 152/36, clava 283/89.

Mesosoma. Width at mesoscutum 222 μ m, length 603. Pronotum with 8 thick, apically truncate setae on each side; mesoscutum without setae on midlobe, with 1 seta on lateral margin of each lateral lobe; notauli wide, ending as pits at junction with pronotum; prosternum with 1 thin setae anteriorly on each side of midline; scutellum with placoid sensilla in anterior fifth of sclerite, separated by 3 times their own diameter from each other; axilla with 1 long posteromedially directed seta on dorsal surface and 1 short seta on lateral surface; frenum narrow, and frenal line not evident; dorsellum narrow; propodeum with 1 long submedian setae anteriorly, and 1 shorter, sublateral setae posteriorly on each side, both pairs directed laterally.

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 6) with intricate pattern of brown marks enclosing 7 white areas and short, narrow but distinct dark streak extending apically from stigmal vein, wing distinctly truncate apically, length/width 1885/627 μ m, ratio 3.0, longest marginal setae 119 μ m, just under 0.2 times wing width. Hind wing unusually narrow, its length/width 1433/26 μ m, longest marginal setae 230 μ m, about 8.8 times maximum width of wing.



Figure 4. Palaeoneura gloriosa, paratype, habitus, lateral. Scale line = 1.0 mm.

Metasoma. Petiole length 335 μ m, distinctly longer than long metacoxa and almost half length of gaster; ovipositor length 650 μ m, extending beyond apex of gaster by almost 0.1 times its length, only slightly longer than metatibia.

Variation. The holotype and two paratypes have almost identical wing patterns, with seven or eight white areas of varying size separated by brown areas. Two additional specimens, with exactly the same body lengths as the paratypes, have only 5 white spaces, the median three white areas coalescing into one white band extending across the wing, and the clava is uniformly pale yellow, without brown apex. These differences are treated here as infraspecific variation but more specimens may show that there are no intermediates and two very similar species may be involved. Therefore, these two specimens are not treated as paratypes.

Palaeoneura eucharis (Perkins), comb. nov.

(Figs. 5, 7, 9)

Polynema eucharis Perkins 1912: 25. Type locality: Viti Levu, Suva.



Figure 5. Palaeoneura eucharis, habitus, dorsal. Scale line = 1.0 mm.

The holotype female of *Polynema eucharis* (BPBM) was not examined but the original description is sufficient to identify the species. The specimens listed below are the first collected since the original description.

FIJI. Viti Levu: Naitasiri, Navai, Eteni, 17°37'S 177°59'E, 700m, 24.x–8.xi.2003, M.E. Irwin, E. Schlinger, M. Tokota'a, MT, FBA 036936, 036937, and 021046 ($1 \ colump 2 \ columb 3 \ columb 3 \ columb 3 \ columb 4 \ columb 3 \ co$

Two females (body length 1536 μ m) and 5 males (body length 1254–1404 μ m) were examined. A female habitus (Fig. 5), and the fore wing (Fig. 7) and antenna (Fig. 9) of the previously unknown male are illustrated here. Measurements (length/width in μ m) of antennal segments (n=1): scape 42/-, pedicel 29/19, fl₁ 80/15, fl₂ 105/15, fl₃ 113/14, fl₄ 108/15, fl₅ 103/15, fl₆ 103/17, fl₇ 100/15, fl₈ 97/16, fl₉ 92/15, fl₁₀ 89/15, fl₁₁ 96/18 Fl₆ length/width 6.1. Fl₁ with about 5 longitudinal sensilla, fl₂–fl₁₁ each with about 8 longitudinal sensilla.

DISCUSSION

The collection method (Malaise traps) that yielded most of the material examined was clearly biased in that more robust mymarid species with a relatively longer body length tended to be collected (or, at least, extracted) from the resulting samples; *Australomymar* and *Palaeoneura* constituted just over half the specimens collected. Even so, a few specimens of genera that include some of the smallest fairyflies (e.g., *Alaptus, Anagrus, Camptoptera, Dicopomorpha*) were collected, indicating that Malaise trapping still was moderately effective at sampling the generic diversity. Intensive use of other collecting methods, such as yellow pan trapping or screen sweeping in a diversity of localities and habitats, including urbanized or agricultural ones, would certainly result in many more representatives of these genera being collected and would likely increase the number of species. Collecting in urban gardens might well yield more Pacific-wide or worldwide species of genera not yet recorded from Fiji.

The 21 genera of Mymaridae collected so far in Fiji represent about half the number reported by Lin *et al.* (2007) for Australia or Noyes & Valentine (1989) for New Zealand but more than the 17 genera reported for the Hawaiian Islands (Beardsley & Huber 2000, Huber & Beardsley 2000), a slightly smaller (by about 2000 km²) land area than Fiji and the only other area of the Pacific for which the fairyfly fauna has been moderately well surveyed. A few more genera are to be expected in Fiji, based on their widespread (often worldwide) distribution, including presence in other Pacific islands, e.g. *Acmopolynema* Ogloblin, *Kikiki* Huber & Beardsley, *Mymar* Curtis, and *Stethynium* Enock in the Hawaiian Islands (Beardsley & Huber 2000, Huber & Beardsley 2000), and *Anagroidea* Girault in New Caledonia (Triapitsyn & Berezovskiy 2002a). *Kalopolynema* Ogloblin, in the Hawaiian Islands, is perhaps less likely to occur in Fiji as it is a Western Hemisphere genus (Triapitsyn & Berezovskiy 2002b).

Despite having fewer genera and species compared to the much larger land masses of Australia or New Zealand, the Fijian fauna is evidently richer in absolute terms and almost certainly in endemic species than the younger, oceanic islands of Micronesia and Polynesia. Until slides of representatives of each species enumerated for Fiji are prepared, the number of species estimated for each genus is approximate but many of the 65 species enumerated above appear to be undescribed. The proportion of species unique (endemic) to Fiji can only be determined once Mymaridae from other Pacific islands, particularly the continental ones such as New Caledonia and Vanuatu, are well collected and studied, but it is evident that some of the species are shared with other Pacific islands. At present, the Hawaiian fairyfly fauna is the best known among the Pacific islands, excluding New Zealand. Compared to Fiji, now the second best studied Pacific island group, some



Figures 6–9. 6, *Palaeoneura gloriosa*, wings, holotype; 7, *P. eucharis*, wings; 8, *P. gloriosa*, antenna, holotype; 9, *P. eucharis*, male antenna. Scale lines = 0.5 mm.

notable similarities and differences occur. With about 55–60 species (about 45 named, Noyes 2002) the Hawaiian fairyfly fauna is somewhat smaller than that of Fiji and their origins are different. Although both island groups have a distinct, apparently endemic fauna—principally *Palaeoneura* in Fiji and *Polynema* (Perkins 1910) in the Hawaiian Islands—the Fijian fauna is clearly Australasian in origin whereas the Hawaiian fauna apparently originated mostly from the Western Hemisphere, probably due to multiple inadvertent introductions as a result of trade and tourism. Both Fiji and the Hawaiian Islands share species spread accidentally or deliberately for biological control of invasive alien pests. *Anagrus* species in particular occur in both island groups as well as other Pacific islands, as listed below.

Other than Fiji and the Hawaiian Islands, seven Pacific islands or island groups west of 120° longitude have recorded Mymaridae. Pacific islands east of 120° longitude, e.g., Easter Island and Juan Fernández Islands, which are politically part of various Latin American countries or France and whose fauna is clearly South American in origin, also have Mymaridae reported from them but they are not listed here. Regardless of the island group, the numbers of species are small, reflecting the lack of published studies. From the small numbers of species per island or island group listed below it is evident that most Pacific islands are very poorly sampled. Comparisons among them cannot reliably be made until all of them are surveyed much more intensively.

American Samoa (1): Anagrus frequens Girault (Triapitsyn & Berezovskiy 2004).

French Polynesia (9): Anagrus baeri Girault, A. frequens, A. nilaparvatae Pang & Wang, A. sp., Gonatocerus ashmeadi Girault, G. dolichocerus Ashmead, Stephanodes reduvioli, Palaeoneura sp. (Huber & Fidalgo 1997, Triapitsyn 2001, 2006, Triapitsyn & Berezovskiy 2004, Grandgirard et al. 2007).

Guam (6): *Alaptus caecilii* Girault, *Anagrus flaveolus* Waterhouse (almost certainly a misidentification), *A. frequens*, *A. nilaparvatae*, *A. optabilis*, *Mymar taprobanicum* Ward (as *tyndalli* Girault) (Swezey 1946, Annecke 1961, Triapitsyn & Berezovskiy 2004).

Micronesia (5): Anagrus frequens, Arescon clarkei Doutt, Australomymar gressitti (Doutt), Gonatocerus saipanensis Doutt, Stephanodes reduvioli (Doutt 1955).

New Caledonia (1): *Anagroidea dubia* (Girault) (Triapitsyn & Berezovskiy 2002a). Norfolk Island (1): *Anagrus frequens* (Triapitsyn 2001).

Western Samoa (1): Anagrus optabilis (Triapitzyn 1996).

For comparison, Papua New Guinea has only 9 recorded species: Acmopolynema neznakomka S. Triapitsyn & Berezovskiy, Anagrus frequens, A. japonicus Sahad, A. optabilis, A. perforator, A. quasibrevis S. Triapitsyn, Anneckia oophaga Subba Rao, Palaeoneura dei (Girault), and P. unimaculata (Hayat & Anis) (Huber 2002, Subba Rao 1970, Triapitsyn 2001, Triapitsyn & Berezovskiy 2007).

CONCLUSIONS

It is important to continue surveys in Fiji, not only to understand the biodiversity of the country better but especially to detect potential pests and their parasitoids that may be introduced in future, perhaps from other Pacific islands. Particular emphasis should be placed on collecting eggs of and rearing Auchenorrhyncha (Hemiptera) as this group seems to contain some of the most easily spread plant pests in the Pacific region. Once the fairyfly fauna is better surveyed, generic revisions based on slide-mounted specimens as well as critical point dried (or chemically dried), card mounted specimens will provide a more accurate estimate of species numbers.



Figures 10-11. 10, Anaphes fijiensis, holotype slide; 11, Palaeoneura gloriosa, holotype slide.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to E. Schlinger for coordinating the collecting and sorting of Fijian Microhymenoptera and sending the Chalcidoidea to the CNC for further sorting, preparation and study; also for forwarding to other institutions the families of interest to other researchers. N. Evenhuis (BPBM, Honolulu) invited me to participate in the Fiji biodiversity project (which I had to decline, unfortunately); also for providing a forum for publications on Fiji's insects. J. Read (CNC, Ottawa) is thanked for preparing the digital images, compiling the plates for the species descriptions, and proofreading. S. Triapitsyn (University of California, Riverside) kindly checked the fore wing image of P. eucharis against the holotype on loan to him to confirm my identification. Finally, J. Noyes (The Natural History Museum, London) is gratefully acknowledged for his magnificent contribution of inestimable value to anyone interested in obtaining information on Chalcidoidea. His "Universal Chalcidoidea Database", referenced below, saved the author weeks of work searching for the relevant literature on Mymaridae for the Pacific region. This database, programmed by D. Yu (presently at University of Kentucky, Lexington) and populated by J. Noves, with information from over 39,000 chalcidoid references has undoubtedly saved many researchers thousands of hours of tedious work searching for information on chalcids wasps, thus greatly facilitating their own research.

LITERATURE CITED

- Alyokhin, A.V., Yang, P.J., & Messing, R.H. 2001. Distribution and parasitism of Sophonia rufofascia (Homoptera: Cicadellidae) eggs in Hawaii. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 94: 664–669.
- Annecke, D.P. 1961. The genus Mymar Curtis (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). South African Journal of Agricultural Science 4: 543–552.
- Beardsley, J.W. 2000. The introduction and establishment of Anaphes (Patasson) calendrae (Gahan) in Hawaii (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). Proceedings of the Hawaiian Entomological Society 34: 209–211.
 - . & Huber, J.T. 2000. Key to genera of Mymaridae from the Hawaiian Islands, with notes on some of the species (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). *Proceedings of the Hawaiian Entomological Society* 34: 1–22.
- Doutt, R.L. 1955. Insects of Micronesia. Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae and Mymaridae. *Insects of Micronesia* 19(1): 1–17.
- Evenhuis, N.L. (Ed.) 2007. Checklists of the terrestrial arthropods of Fiji. Revised edition. *Bishop Museum Technical Report* 38. (Each family paginated separately).
- **Fullaway**, **D.T**. 1957. Checklist of the Hymenoptera of Fiji. *Proceedings of the Hawaiian Entomological Society* **16**: 269–280.
- Girault, A.A. 1912. On the probable occurrence of the mymarid genus *Dicopus* Enock in Fiji. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 14: 22.
 - 1913. Critical notes on some species of Mymaridae from the Sandwich (Hawaiian) Islands, with comparative notes on Australian, North American, and European forms. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* **15**: 9–20.
- Grandgirard, J., Hoddle, M.S., Petit, J.N., Roderick, G.K. & Davies, N. 2008. Engineering an invasion: classical biological control of the glassy-winged sharpshooter, *Homalodisca vitripennis*, by the egg parasitoid *Gonatocerus ashmeadi* in Tahiti and Moorea, French Polynesia. *Biological Invasions* 10(2): 135–148.
 - ——., Hoddle, M.S., Petit, J.N., Roderick, G.K. and Davies, N. 2009. Classical biological control of the glassy-winged sharpshooter, *Homalodisca vitripennis*, by the egg parasitoid *Gonatocerus ashmeadi* in the Society, Marquesas and Austral archipelagos of French Polynesia. *Biological Control* **48**(**2**): 155–163.

—., Hoddle, M.S., Triapitsyn, S.V., Petit, J.N., Roderick, G.K., & Davies, N. 2007. First records of *Gonatocerus dolichocerus* Ashmead, *Palaeoneura* sp., *Anagrus* sp. (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae), and *Cendrodora* sp. (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) in French Polynesia, with notes on egg parasitism of the glassy-winged sharp-shooter, *Homalodisca vitripennis* (Germar) (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae). *The Pan-Pacific Entomologist* 83: 177–184.

Huber, J.T. 1986. Systematics, biology, and hosts of the Mymaridae and Mymarommatidae (Insecta: Hymenoptera): 1758–1984. *Entomography: An Annual Review for Biosystematics* 4: 185–243.

—. 1992. The subgenera, species groups, and synonyms of *Anaphes* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) with a review of the described Nearctic species of the *fuscipennis* group of *Anaphes s.s.* and the described species of *Anaphes (Yungaburra). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Ontario* **123**: 23–110.

—. 2002. Review of *Chaetomymar* Ogloblin with description of a new species in the Hawaiian Islands (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). *Journal of Hymenoptera Research* **12**: 77–101.

—. 2009. The genus *Dicopomorpha* (Hymenoptera, Mymaridae) in Africa and a key to *Alaptus*-group genera. *Zookeys* **20**: 233-244.

—. & Beardsley, J.W. 2000. A new genus of fairyfly, *Kikiki*, from the Hawaiian Islands (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). *Proceedings of the Hawaiian Entomological Society* **34**: 65–70.

—. & Fidalgo, P. 1997. Review of the genus *Stephanodes* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Ontario* **128**: 27–63.

—. & Lin, N.Q. 1999. World review of the *Camptoptera* group of genera (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Ontario* **130**: 21–65.

—, Mendel, Z., Protasov, A., & La Salle, J. 2006. Two new Australian species of *Stethynium* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae), larval parasitoids of *Ophelimus maskelli* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) on *Eucalyptus. Journal of Natural History* **40**: 1909–1921.

—, **Viggiani**, **G. & Jesu**, **R**. 2009. Order Hymenoptera, family Mymaridae, pp. 270–297 *in* van Harten, A. (ed.), Arthropod Fauna of the UAE. Volume 2. 786 pp.

- Johnson, T.M., Yang, P., Huber J.T., & Jones, V.P. 2001. Egg parasitoids of Sophonia rufofascia (Homoptera: Cicadellidae) in Hawaii Volcanoes National Park. Biological Control 22(1): 9–15.
- Lin, N.-Q., Huber, J.T. & La Salle, J. 2007. The Australian genera of Mymaridae (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea). Zootaxa 1596: 1–111.
- Noyes, J.S. 1982. Collecting and preserving chalcid wasps (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea). Journal of Natural History 16: 315–334.
- Noyes, J.S. 2002. Interactive catalogue of world Chalcidoidea 2001. CD-ROM. Second edition. Taxapad[®], Vancouver, Canada. [Available at: http://www.taxapad.com], and Universal Chalcidoidea Database at http://www.nhm.ac.uk/jdsml/research-curation/research/projects/ chalcidoids (accessed March 2009).
- Noyes, J.S. & Valentine, E.W. 1989. Mymaridae (Insecta: Hymenoptera) introduction, and review of genera. *Fauna of New Zealand* 17: 1–95.
- Perkins, R.C.L. 1905. Leaf-hoppers and their natural enemies (Pt. VI. Mymaridae, Platygasteridae). Report of the Hawaiian Sugar Planters' Association, Division of Entomology, Bulletin 1, Part 6, pp. 187–205 + xx plates.

—. 1910. Fam. Mymaridae. Pp. 660–667 in Fauna Hawaiiensis or the Zoology of the Sandwich (Hawaiian) Islands. Supplement to Hymenoptera 2 part 6 (pp. 600–686). London: Cambridge University Press.

—. 1912. Parasites of insects attacking sugar cane. *Hawaiian Sugar Planters'* Association Experiment Station. Entomology Series. Bulletin **10**, 27 pp.

- Subba Rao, B.R. 1970. Descriptions of new genera and species of Mymaridae (Hymenoptera) from the Far East and the Ethiopian region. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 59: 659–670.
- Swezey, O.H. 1936. Biological control of the sugar cane leafhopper in Hawaii. Bulletin of the Experiment Station of the Hawaiian Sugar Planters' Association, Entomological Series 21: 57–101.
 - ———. 1946. Notes on some Guam Chalcidoidea. Pp. 211–219 in Insects of Guam—II. Bernice P. Bishop Museum Bulletin 189, 237 pp.

—. 1954. Forest entomology in Hawaii. An annotated check-list of the insect faunas of the various components of the Hawaiian forests. *Bishop Museum Special Publication* **44**, 266 pp.

Triapitsyn, S.V. 2001. Review of the Australasian species of *Anagrus* (Hymenoptera Mymaridae). *Belgian Journal of Entomology* 3: 267–289.

—. 2006. A key to the Mymaridae (Hymenoptera) egg parasitoids of proconiine sharpshooters (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) in the Nearctic region, with description of two new species of *Gonatocerus. Zootaxa* **1203**: 1–38.

—. & Beardsley, J.W. 2000. A review of the Hawaiian species of *Anagrus* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). *Proceedings of the Hawaiian Entomological Society* **34**: 23–48.

—. & Berezovskiy, V.V. 2002a. Review of the Mymaridae (Hymenoptera, Chalcidoidea) of Primorskii Krai: genera *Anagroidea* Girault and *Eubroncus* Yoshimoto, Kozlov et Trjapitzin. *Far Eastern Entomologist* **114**: 1–17.

—. & Berezovskiy, V.V. 2002b. Revision of *Kalopolynema*, with notes on *Platy-polynema* (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). *Florida Entomologist* **85**: 611–619.

-. & Berezovskiy, V.V. 2004. Review of the genus Anagrus Haliday, 1833

(Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) in Russia, with notes on some extralimital species. *Far Eastern Entomologist* **139**: 1–36.

—. & Berezovskiy, V.V. 2007. Review of the Oriental and Australasian species of *Acmopolynema*, with taxonomic notes on *Palaeoneura* and *Xenopolynema* stat. rev. and description of a new genus (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae). *Zootaxa* **1455**: 1–68.

- Yang, P., Foote, D., Alyokhin, A.V., Lenz, L., & Messing, R.H. 2002. Distribution and abundance of mymarid parasitoids (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) of *Sophonia rufofascia* Kuoh and Kuoh (Homoptera: Cicadellidae) in Hawaii. *Biological Control* 23(3): 237–244.